



Zagreb, 9. prosinca 2016.

# Odgovorno uređivanje u znanstvenim časopisima

**Prof. dr. sc. Ana Marušić, dr. med.**

**Medicinski fakultet Sveučilišta u Splitu**

**Editor in Chief, *Journal of Global Health***

**Predsjednica, European Association of Science Editors**

# Što je etička zadaća urednika i časopisa?

Osiguranje integriteta objavljenog zapisa

# Urednička etička pravila:

- Prihvatanje međunarodnih uredničkih standarda
- Postupak recenzije
- Popravak objavljenog zapisa
- Autorstvo
- Sukob interesa
- Financijska potpora
- Etičnost istraživanja na ljudima i životinjama
- Dozvola etičkog povjerenstva
- Registracija kliničkih pokusa
- Zaštita privatnosti
- Manipulacija slika
- Sukob interesa urednika kao autora u vlastitom časopisu

# Etički standardi europskih časopisa

[Biochem Med \(Zagreb\)](#). 2015;25(1):12-21. doi: 10.11613/BM.2015.002.

**Do Croatian open access journals support ethical research? Content analysis of instructions to authors.**

[Stojanovski J.](#)

Analysis of 197 Croatian OA journals instructions to authors

**CONCLUSION:** Low representation or absence of some key ethical issues in author guidelines calls for more attention to the structure and the content of Instructions to authors in Croatian OA journals.

# Etički standardi hrvatskih časopisa

[Sci Eng Ethics](#). 2014 Mar;20(1):99-109. doi: 10.1007/s11948-013-9431-x. Epub 2013 Mar 1.

**Publication ethics in biomedical journals from countries in Central and Eastern Europe.**

[Broga M](#), [Mijaljica G](#), [Waligora M](#), [Keis A](#), [Marusic A](#).

68 journals from PubMed

The most common ethical issues addressed by all journals in the region were redundant publication, peer review process, and copyright or licensing details. Image manipulation, editors' conflicts of interest and registration of clinical trials were the least common ethical policies. .

# SWOT analiza uredničke odgovornosti

STRENGTHS

WEAKNESSES



Unutarnji izvori i  
mogućnosti

OPPORTUNITIES

THREATS



Čimbenici izvan grupe  
ili organizacije

# SNAGE urednika u odgovornom publiciranju

- Autoritet u znanstvenoj zajednici
- Urednička neovisnost
- Ekspertiza u istraživanju
- Odgovornost za objavljeni zapis
- Oblikovanje uredničkih politika i standarda

# PRILIKE za urednike u odgovornom publiciranju

- Urednici najčešće otkrivaju etičke probleme
- Nove tehnike koje pomažu u otkrivanju etičkih prijestupa
- Urednički standardi koje su razvile uredničke organizacije
- Zahtjevi nacionalnih etičkih tijela
- Veća vidljivost znanosti
- Veća vidljivost popravaka znanstvenog zapisa



# Editorial publishing policies



[www.councilscienceeditors.org](http://www.councilscienceeditors.org)



EUROPEAN ASSOCIATION OF SCIENCE EDITORS

[www.ease.org.uk](http://www.ease.org.uk)

**ICMJE** INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE of  
MEDICAL JOURNAL EDITORS

[www.icmje.org](http://www.icmje.org)



World  
Association  
of Medical  
Editors

[www.wame.org](http://www.wame.org)



[www.publicationethics.org.uk](http://www.publicationethics.org.uk)

# Urednički standardi

**CSE's** White Paper on Promoting Integrity in Scientific Journal Publications


**EASE** Science Editors' Handbook – Ethical issues

**ICMJE** Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing, and Publication of Scholarly work in Medical Journals

**WAME** Policy Statement on the Responsibilities of Medical Editors

**COPE** Code of Conduct, Ethics Flowcharts, Guidelines on Article Retraction

# Urednički standardi – COPE



The screenshot shows the COPE website's 'Resources' page. At the top, the COPE logo is on the left, and a search bar with the text 'What are you looking for' and a magnifying glass icon is on the right. A 'Sign in' button is in the top right corner. Below the logo, the text 'COMMITTEE ON PUBLICATION ETHICS' is displayed. A navigation menu includes 'Home', 'About COPE', 'Resources' (which is highlighted), 'Cases', 'Become a member', 'Members', 'Events', 'News & Opinion', and 'Contact Us'. On the left side, there is a vertical sidebar with a list of links: 'Code of Conduct', 'Flowcharts', 'Guidelines', 'International standards for editors and authors', 'eLearning', 'Discussion Documents', 'COPE Digest', 'Seminars', 'COPE Research Grant', and 'Resources and Further Reading'. The main content area features a breadcrumb trail 'Home /' followed by a large 'Resources' heading with a folder icon. Below this, a paragraph states: 'From our Code of Conduct and our Guidelines to useful sample letters and flowcharts, COPE offers a range of useful tools for journal editors and writers.' There are six content cards arranged in a 2x3 grid. The first card is titled 'Guidelines' with a large '1,2,3,4,5' graphic and text: 'Access COPE's official guidance, including the Retraction Guidelines.' The second card is titled 'Flowcharts' with a flowchart icon and text: 'Our flowcharts are designed to help editors follow COPE's Code of Conduct and implement its advice when faced with cases of suspected misconduct.' The third card is titled 'eLearning' with a circle icon and text: 'COPE's eLearning course is now live. Designed to give editors a deeper understanding about publication ethics and practical guidance about how to detect, prevent and handle misconduct.' The fourth card is titled 'Ethical Editing Newsletters' with a checkmark icon and text: 'COPE is committed to improving...'. The fifth card is titled 'Seminars' with text: 'Details of COPE seminars and presentations from the past few years'.

Home /

## Resources

From our Code of Conduct and our Guidelines to useful sample letters and flowcharts, COPE offers a range of useful tools for journal editors and writers.

### Guidelines

Access COPE's official guidance, including the Retraction Guidelines.

### Flowcharts

Our flowcharts are designed to help editors follow COPE's Code of Conduct and implement its advice when faced with cases of suspected misconduct.

### eLearning

COPE's eLearning course is now live. Designed to give editors a deeper understanding about publication ethics and practical guidance about how to detect, prevent and handle misconduct.

### Ethical Editing Newsletters

COPE is committed to improving

### Seminars

Details of COPE seminars and presentations from the past few years

# Urednički standardi – COPE

<http://public.mzos.hr/fgs.axd?id=14128>


C O P E

COMMITTEE ON PUBLICATION ETHICS

WWW.PUBLICATION

## Što napraviti ukoliko sumnjate na plagijarizam?

(b) Sumnja na plagijarizam u objavljenom članku



# PRILIKE: Propisi nacionalnih etičkih tijela

**USA:** Office for Research Integrity, DHHS; National Science Foundation

**Canada:** Canadian Institutes of Health Research

**Nordic countries:** RI Committees in Denmark, Norway, Sweden, Finland

**Germany:** Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

**Austria:** Austrian Agency for Research Integrity

**Switzerland:** Swiss Academy of Medical Sciences

**India:** Indian Council of Medical Research

**UK:** UK Research Integrity Office

**Japan:** Science Council of Japan

**China:** Ethics Committee of the Ministry of Education

**Australia:** Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research

**Croatia:** Odbor za etiku u znanosti i visokom obrazovanju

# PRILIKE: Propisi nacionalnih etičkih tijela

OPINION

NATURE|Vol 454|31 July 2008

## CORRESPONDENCE

These letters respond to the Commentary 'Repairing research integrity' by Sandra L. Titus, James A. Wells and Lawrence J. Rhoades (*Nature* **453**, 980–982; 2008).

### Integrity: Croatia's standards unusual in much of Europe

SIR — Sandra Titus and her colleagues argue that a failure to foster a culture of research integrity is the common denominator in scientific misconduct, which is the United

emulate the standards already in place elsewhere. In Scandinavia, for example, training in good research practice is mandatory for researchers, as it is in the Biomedical Research Park of Barcelona in Spain. Croatia deserves special mention: its leading medical journal has been cooperating with the ORI since 1999 and has initiated a dialogue

scientists, Titus and colleagues drastically oversimplify the nature of the problem. They assume that most scientific leaders behave with integrity in their own work, but sometimes



# SLABOSTI urednika u promociji odgovornog puliciranja

- Nema mandat za pravni postupak
- Malo mogućnosti za akciju: expression of concern
- Oklijevanje za ulazak u delikatne situacije
- Moguća šteta ugledu časopisa
- Nedovoljna izobrazba i sredstva za odgovorno istraživanje

# PRIJETNJE urednicima u promociji odgovornog publiciranja

- Nedostatak pravne regulative i kulture istraživačke čestitosti u znanstvenoj zajednici
- Nema izobrazbe
- Izostanak potpore dionika (izdavača, udruga, znanstvenika i akademske/znanstvene zajednice )
- Pritisci na urednike (izdavači, ustanove, financijski sukob interesa)
- Korupcija u znanstvenoj/akademskej zajednici i društvu




# PRIJETNJE urednicima u promociji odgovornog publiciranja

Can medical journals lead or must they follow?

Richard Smith

MJA • Volume 183 Number 11/12 • 5/19 December 2005



*Ernest Hart, Editor of the BMJ, 1867–1898, made use of his extensive social contacts to promote the issue of child protection.*

*“An editor needs, and must have, enemies; he can’t do without them.  
Woe be unto the journalist of whom all men say good things.”*

*“Most of the allegations and findings center upon publication issues, because scientific publication documents the actions of the researcher.”*

Claxton LD. Scientific authorship.  
*Mutat Res*

Ako su časopisi i njihovi urednici zauzimaju mjesto s kojeg najbolje mogu otkriti znanstvenu nečestitost, onda imaju i najbolje mjesto da preveniraju etičke zloporabe i promoviraju odgovorno znanstveno istraživanje.



# Hvala

ana.marusic@mefst.hr



**14<sup>th</sup> EASE Conference  
Bucharest, 2018**

**EASE Annual General Meeting 2017  
May 28-31, 2017  
Amsterdam**

